

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MEHMET EMIN TATAS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALI BABA'S TERRACE, INC., ALI RIZA
DOGAN, SENOL BAKIR, *and* TOLGAHAN
SUBAKAN,

Defendants.

19-cv-10595 (ER)

VERDICT SHEET

QUESTION 1:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to discrimination under the Federal Civil Rights Law (42 U.S.C. § 1981) by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

QUESTION 2:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to discrimination under the New York State Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

QUESTION 3:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to discrimination under the New York City Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>

QUESTION 4:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to a hostile work environment under the Federal Civil Rights Law (42 U.S.C. § 1981) or New York State Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>

QUESTION 5:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to a hostile work environment under the New York City Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>

QUESTION 6:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to retaliation under the New York State Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>

QUESTION 7:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to retaliation under the New York City Human Rights Law by any of the below defendants?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Senol Bakir	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	YES _____	NO <u>✓</u>

QUESTION 8:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was assaulted by Dogan?

YES ✓ NO _____

QUESTION 9:

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was battered by Dogan?

YES ✓ NO _____

QUESTION 10:

PART ONE

Has Dogan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Tatas breached an oral contract between them?

YES _____ NO ✓

PART TWO—answer Part Three only if you answered yes to Part One

What is the amount of unreturned funds that Tatas owes Dogan?

\$ _____

QUESTION 11:

PART ONE—answer Part One only if you answered “YES” to Question 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, OR 9

What is the amount, if any, of compensatory damages for emotional distress that Tatas has proven by a preponderance of the evidence was proximately caused by the defendants?

\$ 2,500.00

PART TWO—answer Part Two only if you answered with a dollar amount for Part One

What is the percentage of fault you determine each defendant to have caused in that emotional distress (adding up to 100% in total)?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	% <u>0</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	% <u>100</u>
Senol Bakir	% <u>0</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	% <u>0</u>

QUESTION 12:

PART ONE—*answer Part One only if you answered “YES” to Question 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, OR 9*

Has Tatas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that at least one of the defendants acted with outrageously reprehensible conduct or with malice?

YES ✓ NO

PART TWO—*answer Part Two only if you answered “YES” to Part One*

What is the amount, if any, of punitive damages that Tatas has proven by a preponderance of the evidence was proximately caused by the defendants?

\$ 1

PART THREE—*answer Part Three only if you answered with a dollar amount for Part Two*

What is the percentage you determine each defendant to have acted with outrageously reprehensible conduct or with malice (adding up to 100% in total)?

Ali Baba's Terrace, Inc.	% <u>0</u>
Ali Riza Dogan	% <u>100</u>
Senol Bakir	% <u>0</u>
Tolgahan Subakan	% <u>0</u>


QUESTION 13:

Answer Question 11 only if you answered "YES" to Question 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 OR 9

What is the amount, if any, of back pay that Tatas has proven he is entitled to by a preponderance of the evidence?

\$ 0.00

Your deliberations are complete, and you have reached a verdict. The foreperson should sign below.



Signature of Foreperson

Dated: 4/09/2024